

October 7, 1982

Introduced by: Ruby Chow

Proposed No. 82-551

1 MOTION NO. 5634

2 A MOTION approving the 1983 Area Plan on  
3 Aging amendments and budget as adopted by  
the Seattle-King County Division on Aging.

4 WHEREAS, the Interlocal Agreement establishing the Seattle-  
5 King County Division on Aging provides for the equal participa-  
6 tion of the City of Seattle, King County, and United Way of  
7 King County in governing the Seattle-King County Division on  
8 Aging, and

9 WHEREAS, Section I of the Interlocal Agreement requires  
10 that the Area Plan on Aging be annually reviewed and approved by  
11 the City of Seattle, King County, and United Way, and

12 WHEREAS, the County Council finds that the proposed 1983  
13 amendments to the Area Plan on Aging and the proposed 1983  
14 budget of the Seattle-King County Division on Aging represent  
15 the best means to address the needs of older persons in King  
16 County, given limited resources;

17 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

18 A. The Seattle-King County Division on Aging 1983 budget  
19 and amendments to the Area Plan on Aging are hereby approved and  
20 adopted by King County.

21 B. The County Executive is hereby authorized and directed  
22 to sign the 1983 Area Plan on Aging as the official representative  
23 of King County.

24 PASSED this 20th day of December, 19 82.

25 KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
26 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

27 Lois North  
28 Chairman

29 ATTEST:

30 Ruby M. Chow  
31 Clerk of the Council

32

33

SUMMARY AND NARRATIVE OF 1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANNING

(DRAFT #3, Rev. 8/26/82)

GOAL STATEMENT  
4.B. ADVOCACY GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

ADVOCACY GOAL #1

The capacity of the aging network will be enhanced to secure all present rights, benefits, entitlements and to effect favorable changes in law and regulation in order to develop new rights, benefits

<u>1982 OBJECTIVES</u>	<u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To increase by 50% each year the number of persons participating in the King County Senior Citizens Communications Network of the Statewide Senior Citizens Lobby.</li><li>2. To provide four training sessions annually to extend, support and enhance the capability of the Senior Citizens Communications Network to advocate on behalf of older persons.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. This objective was amended in 1982 to de-emphasize purely quantitative measures in favor of a qualitative approach. The amended objective reads as follows: "To demonstrate increased quality of correspondence advocacy of the King County Communications Network in response to State and Federal legislative proposals by May 2, 1983, as measured by the number of times the network was activated in the importance of the issues lobbied.</li><li>2. Four training sessions were held. In addition a survey was completed for all Network Coordinators to determine level of commitment and need for further restructuring.</li></ol> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. To provide ten information sessions each year to citizen organizations so that they may inform key elected and public officials about social, health, and economic needs of older persons.</li></ol>

## 1982 OBJECTIVES

## 1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- |    |   |    |   |
|----|---|----|---|
| 4. | To complete all survey phases of the chore services study, by December 31, 1982, in order to gather data on the impact of service termination of former clients.                    | 4. | All phases of the chore study survey were completed through the cooperation of clients and providers. Analysis of the data will be done.  |
| 5. | To advocate that, at a minimum, the current (1982) income eligibility criteria for chore services to older persons, be maintained (40% of the State Median Income for individuals). | 5. | SKDOA participated in successful advocacy efforts to retain present income eligibility criteria to preserve the contracted chore program.   |
| 6. | <u>NEW</u>  | 6. |   |
| 7. | To take a lead role in drafting a legislative bill during the 1983 Washington State legislative session to prevent continued abuse in the sale of hearing aids to senior citizens.  | 7. | SKDOA was actively involved in the drafting Bill 1850, which was introduced to the 1982 legislature, but failed in the Senate. This bill was resubmitted during the 1983 legislative session incorporating suggestions made by the Department of Licensing. |
| 8. | To inform senior citizens and their advocates regarding consumer oriented issues and services through the annual development and distribution of resource directories.              | 8. | Several resource directories were published in 1982. They include: Housing Directory for subsidized retirement residences; Low Cost/No Cost Educational/Recreational Programs for Senior Citizens; and Directory of Discounts for Senior Citizens.          |

1982 OBJECTIVES

9. To increase the capability of residents in Long-Term Care facilities to advocate on their own behalf by increasing the number of resident councils by 35% by December 31, 1983.

9. Ten new resident councils were formed in 1982 represents a 31.5% increase over the number of resident councils in 1980. By the end of 1982, 6 nursing homes in King County will have established resident councils. This objective will be monitored in 1983 to facilitate measurement of accomplishment.

ADVOCACY GOAL #2

The public will provide meaningful input into the Division on Aging regarding plans, budgets, and

<u>1982 OBJECTIVES</u>	<u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To hold at least one public hearing per year to gain public input into the service delivery objectives and allocations of the Division on Aging.</li> <li>2. To hold a series of public hearings every three years to achieve input into the three year Area Plan.</li> <li>3. To hold monthly meetings of the Seattle-King County Planning Council on Aging to secure citizen input into the policies and priorities of the Division on Aging.</li> <li>4. To determine actions which can be taken on the local level in response to resolutions resulting from the National White House Conference on Aging and accomplish selected objectives related to these actions by</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A public hearing will be held in October to gain public input into the Area Plan. In addition Division has undertaken an expanded allocation process for 1983 which involved input from over 100 service providers, senior advocates and older adults.</li> <li>3. Monthly meetings were held. In addition, two Council members now attend, as non-voting participants, the monthly meetings of the SKDOA Sponsoring Organization pursuant to a 1982 revision of the Interlocal Agreement.</li> <li>4. Specific local initiatives and actions have been identified in response to the recommendations resulting from the National White House Conference on Aging. Due to staff cutbacks, the actual implementation of the initiatives will occur in 1983.</li> </ol>

SERVICE DEVELOPMENT GOAL #1

The DOA's efforts to expand services or develop new services will be directed toward persons with

<u>1982 OBJECTIVES</u>	<u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. To increase by 2% annually, the number of minority persons served by the Division on Aging's Outreach Advocacy Program so that 20% of the clients served by December 31, 1983 are minority elderly.</li> <li>2. To increase, by 5% each year, the number of minority participants in programs funded by the Division on Aging.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In 1982, 704 minority elderly were served by the Outreach Program, an increase of 5% over 1981. However, the number of minorities served as a percentage of the total clients served only slightly increased (from 14.5% to 14.9%). With maintenance funding projected for 1983, it is unrealistic project an increase in the number of minority persons served by this program. This objective will be summed under Objective #2 below.</li> <li>2. The increase in the number of minorities served between 1980 and 1981 was 11%. The percentage of clients served who were minorities increased from 12.4% to 15.3%. This increase is even more significant given that there was a 9.8% decrease in the number of clients served between 1980 and 1981 due to cause funding for elderly services will be substantially decreased in 1983, a more realistic objective would be to maintain the current minority participation level (15%) in DOA-funded programs. The level represents the continuation of SKDOA's effort to target services to minority elderly, since the percentage is 2.3 times the actual percentage minority older persons residing in King County.</li> </ol>
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. NEW</li> </ol>

1982 OBJECTIVES		1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS
4.	4. To increase by 10% annually over three years, the amount of public information disseminated by the Division on Aging and Senior Information and Assistance programs regarding financial, health, social service benefits and community resources which can be used by older persons to improve their living status, as measured by the number of public information documents distributed.	While this objective was accomplished, it was determined that a simple counting of information items was not meaningful toward the accomplishment of the goal. Therefore, this objective will be deleted in 1983.
5.	To increase by 10% annually the number of private businesses offering discounts to older persons.	5. In 1981, 246 businesses offered discounts to citizens throughout King County. Through the efforts of MOSC, that number increased exponentially to 400 businesses, or an increase of 128% in 1982. The 1982 accomplishments represented a figure overwhelming the 3-year target, the 1983 objective to be modified to maintain the current number of businesses offering discounts to senior citizens.
<u>GOAL STATEMENT</u>		<u>4.E.(b) SERVICE DEVELOPMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES</u>
<u>SERVICE DEVELOPMENT GOAL #2</u>		Older persons throughout Seattle-King County will have access to specified services in the event
1982 OBJECTIVES		1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS
1. Ensure that the Senior Information and Assistance Network has the capacity to respond to requests for information in the event of a natural disaster.		1. Accomplished.

GOAL STATEMENT  
4.6. SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT GOAL #1

An array of services will be available so that older persons can live independently and avoid

<u>1982 OBJECTIVES</u>	<u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To determine the number and percentage of minority persons referred to SKDOA funded I&amp;A and Outreach programs to measured by the implementation of reporting requirements in 1982 I&amp;A and Outreach contracts.</li><li>2. To increase by 2% annually, beginning 1980-81, previously untapped resources available for aging programs in Seattle-King County.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The feasibility of including minority referring requirements in the I&amp;A and Outreach programs to be provided. It was determined that the services associated with collecting specific information by minority client, cross-tabulating with DOA-funded agency data, and reporting using the data would be outweighed by the cost of maintaining data on the number and percent minorities served. Because DOA efforts to minority participation in DOA-funded programs were successful, this objective will be deleted included as part of the objective to ensure at least 15% of clients served by DOA funded programs will be minorities.</li><li>2. SKDOA has successfully achieved its objective the second year. Specifically, grant fund \$100,000 from AOA Model Projects; \$123,000 United Way Project Transition; \$7,325 MOSC donations; \$500 Washington Mutual Savings Bush Community Center; \$3,000 Pacific Northwest Volunteer Escort; \$2,293 from Community Health equipment donations for Community Outreach Central Area United Elder Citizens, Intern Drop-In Center. A total of \$239,618 was given which is a 53% increase over the 1981 level \$156,441.</li><li>3. A ratio was established based upon consultant findings and joint planning with METRO. The ratio is 20% for general purpose trips versus 80% for group trips.</li><li>3. To establish a ratio of general purpose trips versus group trips to be provided by SKDOA funded transportation programs based upon consultant findings and joint planning with METRO, as measured by the establishment of performance standards in 1982 transportation con-</li></ol>

## 1982 OBJECTIVES

## 1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

4. To provide technical assistance annually to agencies providing services to the elderly on an as-needed basis in order to assist them to provide better services for older persons, as measured by the number of technical assistance sessions rendered.

4. Significant SKDOA staff time (estimated at hours) was spent in providing a variety of assistance to service providers. Technical assistance was provided in the following areas: demographic analysis; contract and report compliance; data analysis; contract and report compliance; preparation of grant applications; an assessment of policies and procedures. DOA staff an estimated 600 technical assistance sessions (an hour per session) to over 100 elderly service providers, institutions, and other interested parties.

5. To implement selected recommendations from the transportation evaluation study conducted in 1981 in order to develop more cost-effective and feasible transportation services for the elderly by December 31, 1983.

5. Of the twenty recommendations from this study, took the lead role for implementing seven, support role with regard to assisting with implementation of seven others. These 14 recommendations will be implemented by December, 1982. At least 120 clients will continue to pursue the completion of remaining recommendations in 1983.

6. To develop, in coordination with existing service providers, methods for serving older persons who: 1) have been terminated from the Title XX Chore Services program; 2) are ineligible for chore services, or 3) have had their chore services hours reduced, by December 31, 1982, as measured by the number of persons served under contracts developed which provide alternatives to chore services.

6. This objective will be accomplished in 1982 coordination with Project Transition. Proposition allocated \$123,000 to purchase needs services for the three categories of clients listed in the objective. At least 120 clients served through this fund source in 1982.

7. NEW

1982 OBJECTIVES

## 8. NEW

1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTSSYSTEM DEVELOPMENT GOAL #2

The array and distribution of services provided to older persons will be based on maximum accuracy.

<u>1982 OBJECTIVES</u>	<u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. To distribute nutrition services to older persons based on greatest social and economic need, ethnic diversity, and population density, as measured by the correlation between corresponding data from the 1980 Census and the siting of nutrition services by December 31, 1983.</li><li>2. To distribute outreach services to elderly persons based on greatest economic and social need as measured by the number of persons receiving services, relative to those in need (as indicated by the 1980 Census data) by December 31, 1982.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. An implementation team was established to accomplish this objective. The team successfully developed a formula for distributing services to older persons in greatest social economic need, with substantial management support from SKDOA staff. The objective was changed in 1983 to implement the formula.</li><li>2. This objective was not accomplished due to fact that census data on income or living expenses will not be available in 1982, as previously scheduled by the Census Bureau. This objective will be modified in 1983 to reflect the data experienced in 1982.</li></ol>

SUMMARY AND NARRATIVE OF 1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANNED 1983 OBJECTIVES

Page Nine

1982 OBJECTIVES		1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS
3. To locate the current senior employment program with a provider capable of offering improved access to the service for county elders by January 1, 1982.	4. To conduct a study by December 31, 1983, to determine which community organizations, if any, should be designated as community focal points for services to older persons.	3. SKDOA Sponsors will decide in the latter part of 1982 whether or not employment services will pending notification of continued funding for Title V program. 4. SKDOA, together with the Advisory Council, e the Community Focal Point Implementation Team study this issue. Following the review of data and the service delivery mechanism currently in place in King County, the Team recommended a place in King County, the Team recommended the establishment of Community Focal Points County. A final report from the Team was presented to the Advisory Council in August and approved by DOA Sponsors in September.
<p style="text-align: center;">( )</p>		
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>GOAL STATEMENT</u></p>		<p style="text-align: center;"><u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>4.I. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES</u></p>		<p style="text-align: center;"><u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>SPECIAL MANAGEMENT GOAL #1</u></p>		<p style="text-align: center;"><u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS</u></p>
<p>Division on Aging resources will be managed in a manner which will promote efficient and effective service delivery.</p>		<p>1. SKDOA established the Direct Services Implementation Team to evaluate the role of SKDOA as a service provider. Following a comprehensive review by Sponsors of the Team's findings, the Sponsor, the Division's role in direct service delivery change in the current operation was recommended.</p>
<p>To determine whether or not the SKDOA should continue to operate direct service programs by May 1, 1982.</p>		<p>1. SKDOA established the Direct Services Implementation Team to evaluate the role of SKDOA as a service provider. Following a comprehensive review by Sponsors of the Team's findings, the Sponsor, the Division's role in direct service delivery change in the current operation was recommended.</p>

1982 OBJECTIVES		1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS
2.	To conduct in-depth evaluation and monitoring of selected programs based upon the application of criteria developed in 1981 by December 31, 1983.	2. All SKDOA programs (55) were visited and monitored with regard to governmental and policies and regulations. Of those, ten were evaluated more extensively utilizing the evaluation and monitoring criteria developed in 1981. These evaluations included an analysis of customer satisfaction with service, cost comparisons with similar programs, and a determination of the ability to meet program performance standards.  3. NEW
<b>SPECIAL MANAGEMENT GOAL #2</b>		
The Division on Aging will plan for, and allocate resources to, programs which do the best job need.		
1982 OBJECTIVES		1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS
1. To analyze Census data which describes characteristics of older persons in the planning and service area by December 31, 1982.		1. Following the availability of the 100% Census Summary Tape File #1), SKDOA staff took the role in reviewing, analyzing, and distributing graphic characteristics of older persons residing in Seattle-King County. SKDOA's role as an accessible resource for elderly demographic data has been established. SKDOA awaits the release of other files which include income data and cross tabulations. This objective will be continued through 1983.

## 1982 OBJECTIVES

2. To conduct assessment studies of services provided at congregate sites and other SKDOA funded programs to determine needs, gaps, and priorities of the target population for selected services by December 31, 1983.
3. On the basis of needs studies conducted in 1981-83, make amendments to the 1981-83 Area Plan goals and objectives such that needs of the target population are better met, by December 31, 1983.

## 1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

2. SKDOA staff compiled extensive demographic data regarding older persons residing in King County. Data were organized in such a way that population profiles would be available for 34 geographic areas dividing King County. Each section was evaluated for population (total numbers, minorities), as well as income (utilizing the 1970 Census data). In a unique service resource inventory for program serving older persons was developed and distributed.
3. Service delivery objectives for the 1981-83 Area Plan were modified based in part on a community survey conducted through the joint cooperation of SKDOA, King County and DSHS Region 4. Other Area Plan objectives were modified based in part on the analysis of the 1980 Census Data. This will be deleted in 1983 as the present update terminates the 1981-83 Area Plan process.
4. In 1982, SKDOA designed and began implementation of a client information system on the chore service clients. 1982 accomplishments involved the inputting of client data on the computerized data processing system. Staff reductions in this area have significantly reduced the priority of this objective. The 1983 objective will be modified to reflect this change.
4. To develop a client information system which will yield data on the characteristics of the Outreach and Chore service populations in order to identify underserved groups, by December 31, 1982.

1982 OBJECTIVES	1982 ACCOMPLISHMENT
<p>5. To design a revised SKDOA allocations process for 1982 which incorporates input from the SKDOA's Sponsors and 1981 Allocations Committee members by May 1, 1982.</p> <p>6. NEW</p>	<p>5. SKDOA Sponsors met in April, 1982 to criteria for 1983 DOA allocations. I allocations criteria which were based population, service gaps, and priorities addition, criteria were approved forment of allocations levels by service determining service areas to be bid i changes in the 1982 allocation process</p> <p>1) major involvement of the Sponsors decision making granted by the new In ment; 2) establishment of priorities by service area and not by specific p establishment of review committees to for the service areas for which DOA i</p>
<u>SPECIAL MANAGEMENT GOAL #3</u>	<u>1982 ACCOMPLISHMENT</u>
The Division on Aging will coordinate its planning and advocacy activities with those o	<p>1. The Director of SKDOA attended RMC me January to July as a voting member of a result of July, 1982 actions by DSH office structure will be significantl the membership and function of the RM It appears as though it may either be not worthwhile for SKDOA to particip beginning in 1981, a new and very pro relationship has been developing with representative from DSHS Region 4. T is representing DSHS at the monthly m SKDOA Sponsors and is conducting many liaison activities performed by the U and County liaison staff.</p>

JURISDICTION AND NARRATIVE OF 1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PLANNED 1983 OBJECTIVES  
Page Thirteen

1982 OBJECTIVES

2. To coordinate activities with the King County Health Planning Council by carrying out the terms of a Memorandum of Understanding.

1982 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

2. In 1982 the Long Term Care Ombudsman convened of experts in LTC and worked closely with the staff in developing LTC policy initiatives for consideration by the House Appropriations/Human Services Committee, resulting in the drafting of legislation reforming LTC delivery in Washington State. Funding for the effort was jointly provided by the Division on Aging, PSHSA, Washington Association of Home Health Agencies, and local foundations. Coordination activities will continue as long as HSA remains in existence.
3. The Coalition has been rather inactive in 1982 ever, the individual members were heavily involved in United Way's Project Transition Advisory Committee. It is anticipated that the Coalition will assume a more proactive role in and by themselves in 1983. Coordination efforts with the Coalition will continue to be accomplished through the participation of the Division on Aging Sponsors.

**1982-1983 BUDGET LEVEL COMPARISONS**

Service Area	1982			1983		
	Title III-B,C-1, C-2, SCSA	All Other Funds	Total	Title III-B,C-1, C-2, SCSA	All Other Funds	
<b>I. PRIORITY SERVICES*</b>						
A. Outreach Advocacy	\$ 720,143	\$ 102,843	\$ 822,986	\$ 720,143	\$ 102,843	
B. Information & Assistance	\$ 270,567	\$ -0-	\$ 270,567	\$ 270,567	\$ -0-	
C. Home Health						
1) Chore	-0-	3,284,140	3,284,140	-0-	3,117,321	
2) Other Home Health	146,682	110,198	256,880	146,682	110,198	
3) TOTAL - Home Health	146,682	3,394,338	3,541,020	146,682	3,227,511	
D. Legal Services	160,434	-0-	160,434	160,434	-0-	
E. Transportation	333,551	42,155	375,706	333,551	46,877	
<b>TOTAL PRIORITY SERVICES</b>	<b>\$1,631,377</b>	<b>\$3,539,336</b>	<b>\$5,170,713</b>	<b>\$1,631,377</b>	<b>\$3,377,233</b>	
<b>II. NUTRITION</b>						
A. Congregate Meals	\$ 851,629	\$1,333,585	\$2,185,214	\$ 793,497	\$1,325,588	
B. Home Delivered Meals	221,932	279,810	501,742	221,932	279,811	
<b>TOTAL NUTRITION</b>	<b>\$1,073,561</b>	<b>\$1,613,395</b>	<b>\$2,686,956</b>	<b>\$1,015,429</b>	<b>\$1,605,394</b>	
<b>III. SHOPPING ASSISTANCE</b>						
A. Mobile Market	\$ 2,268	\$ -0-	\$ 2,268	\$ 2,268	\$ -0-	
B. Food Coops	23,553	10,547	34,100	-0-	10,544	
<b>TOTAL SHOPPING ASSISTANCE</b>	<b>\$ 25,821</b>	<b>\$ 10,547</b>	<b>\$ 36,368</b>	<b>\$ 2,268</b>	<b>\$ 10,544</b>	

\* Per Older Americans Act definitions.

**1982-1983 BUDGET LEVEL COMPARISONS**  
Page Two

Service Area	1982			1983		
	Title III-B C-1, C-2, SCSA	All Other Funds	Total	Title III-B,C-1, C-2, SCSA	All Other Funds	
<b>IV. HEALTH SERVICES</b>						
A. Primary Health Clinics	\$ 62,768	\$ -0-	\$ 62,768	\$ 62,768	\$ -0-	
B. Dental/Denture	100,824	-0-	100,824	85,700	-0-	
C. Hearing Aides	51,626	-0-	51,626	43,882	-0-	
D. Footcare	37,978	-0-	37,978	32,281	-0-	
E. Day Health	68,000	104,000	172,000	63,953	104,000	
F. Social Day Care	10,165	-0-	10,165	-0-	-0-	
<b>TOTAL HEALTH SERVICES</b>	<b>\$ 331,361</b>	<b>\$ 104,00</b>	<b>\$ 435,361</b>	<b>\$ 288,584</b>	<b>\$ 104,000</b>	
<b>V. OTHER SERVICES</b>						
A. Employment	\$ 21,856	\$ 276,033	\$ 297,889	\$ -0-	\$ 265,120	
B. Training	-0-	10,570	10,570	-0-	10,570	
C. Cultural Enrichment/ Recreation	7,000	-0-	7,000	-0-	-0-	
D. Long-Term Care Advocacy	81,731	-0-	81,731	69,471	-0-	
E. Client Grievance Resolution	11,222	-0-	11,222	9,539	-0-	
F. Senior Centers	-0-	105,288	105,288	-0-	105,288	
G. Publications	27,721	-0-	27,721	-0-	-0-	
H. Mental Health Assess- ment/Geriatric Eval.	70,875	-0-	70,875	60,244	-0-	
I. Model Projects	20,000	94,073	114,073	25,000	75,259	
J. Utility Discount	-0-	96,019	96,019	-0-	143,500	
K. MOSC IMA and Other Activities	-0-	50,943	50,943	-0-	79,955	

**1982-1983 BUDGET LEVEL COMPARISONS**

Page Three

	1982			1983		
	Title III-B, C-1, C-2, SCSA	All Other Funds	Total	Title III-B, C-1, C-2, SCSA	All Other Funds	
L. Contingency	\$ 0-	\$ 0-	\$ 0-	\$ 39,132	\$ 0-	
M. Para Legal	16,800	-0-	16,800	-0-	-0-	
TOTAL OTHER SERVICES	\$ 257,205	\$ 632,926	\$ 890,131	\$ 203,386	\$ 679,692	
TOTAL DIRECT SERVICES	\$3,319,325	\$5,900,204	\$9,219,529	\$3,141,044	\$5,776,873	
VI. ADMINISTRATION						
A. Division on Aging*	\$ 555,455	\$ 98,554	\$ 654,009	\$ 554,511	\$ 102,326	
B. Dept. of Human Re- sources Indirect	195,217	39,603	234,820	161,249	42,222	
TOTAL ADMINISTRATION	\$ 750,672	\$ 138,157	\$ 888,829	\$ 715,760	\$ 144,548	
TOTAL BUDGET	\$4,069,997	\$6,038,361	\$10,108,358	\$3,856,804	\$5,921,421	

\* Includes coordination and program development.

## 1982-1983 REVENUE PROJECTIONS

<u>Fund Source</u>	<u>1982</u>	<u>1983</u>
I. <u>Older Americans Act</u>		
A. Title III-B Carryover	\$ 1,016,754 192,462	\$1,016,754 -0-
B. Title III-C Carryover	1,121,050 38,155	1,121,050 15,000
C. Title IV-A	10,570	10,570
D. Title V	<u>266,037</u>	<u>250,400</u>
TOTAL - OAA	\$ 2,645,028	\$2,413,774
II. <u>Social Security Act</u>		
A. Title XX Chore	\$ 3,351,253	\$3,189,546
B. Title XX Day Health	<u>104,000</u>	<u>104,000</u>
TOTAL - SSA	\$ 3,455,253	\$3,293,546
III. <u>Other Federal Grants</u>		
A. Housing and Community Development Block Grant	\$ 660,178	\$ 660,178
B. Administration on Aging	100,000	80,000
C. United States Dept. of Agriculture	<u>575,245</u>	<u>567,245</u>
TOTAL - OTHER FEDERAL GRANTS	\$ 1,335,423	\$1,307,423
IV. <u>State Grants</u>		
A. Senior Citizens Services Act Carryover	\$ 1,698,000 3,576	\$1,704,000 -0-
TOTAL - STATE GRANTS	\$ 1,701,576	\$1,704,000
V. <u>Local Resources</u>		
A. King County Current Expense	\$ 14,403	\$ 19,125
B. City of Seattle General Fund	126,056	152,582
C. Utility Credit Fund	96,019	131,408
D. City Light Fund	<u>-0-</u>	<u>21,767</u>
TOTAL - LOCAL RESOURCES	\$ 236,478	\$ 324,882
VI. <u>Generated Revenue</u>		
TOTAL - ALL SOURCES	\$10,108,358	\$9,778,225

## SERVICE CATEGORIES USED BY ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE II

SERVICE CATEGORY	1982 ALLOCATION LEVEL	1983 ALLOCATION LEVEL
PRIORITY SERVICE CATEGORIES:		
Primary Health Care Clinics (Comprehensive primary care clinic services.)	\$ 62,768	\$ 62,768
In-Home Health (In-home nursing, rehabilitative therapy and home health aide services.)	\$146,682	\$146,682
Outreach Advocacy (Case management, pre-placement screening, client advocacy services.)	\$720,143	\$720,143
Information and Assistance (General information, referral and assistance, assessment, client advocacy, monitoring and follow-up services.)	\$270,567	\$270,567

\* Request for Proposals (RFPs) will be issued for these services.

SERVICE CATEGORY	1982 ALLOCATION LEVEL	1983 ALLOCATION LEVEL
Legal  (Group and client representation, advocacy development and organizational representation services.)	\$160,434	\$160,434
Home-Delivered Meals*  (Client assessments, dietary consultation, meal delivery services.)	\$221,932 (max.)	\$221,932 (max.)
Home-Delivered Groceries	\$ 2,268	\$ 2,268
Congregate Meals*  (Site based meals, nutrition education, dietary consultation services.)	\$851,629	\$793,497
Transportation*  (Various modes of door-to-door transportation services.)	\$333,551	\$333,551

\* Request for Proposals (RFPs) will be issued for these services.

SERVICE CATEGORY	1982 ALLOCATION LEVEL	1983 ALLOCATION LEVEL
<u>NON-PRIORITY SERVICES:</u>		
Mental Health Assessment  (In-Home geriatric evaluation of clients with complex mental or physical health problems.)	\$ 70,875	\$ 60,244
Nursing Home Client Grievance Resolution  (Mediation and resolution of grievances for residents of long-term care facilities.)	\$ 11,222	\$ 9,539
Foot Care  (Soaking the feet, cutting and smoothing the nails, caring for corns and calluses, teaching daily foot care.)	\$ 37,978	\$ 32,281
Dental/Denture  (Examination, cleaning, restorative dentistry, complete dentures, base and repair services.)	\$100,824	\$ 85,700

SERVICE CATEGORY	1982 ALLOCATION LEVEL	1983 ALLOCATION LEVEL
Day Health  (Health monitoring, rehabilitative and restorative nursing, therapy, transportation, discharge and follow-up planning services.)	\$ 68,000	\$ 63,953
Nursing Home Advocacy  (Investigation and resolution of complaints, development of resident councils, legislative and administrative advocacy services.)	\$ 81,731	\$ 69,471
Hearing Aids  (Screening, fitting, and follow-up audiology services.)	\$ 51,626	\$ 43,882
Social Day Care  (Intake assessment, case review, health monitoring, activity program and food services.)	\$ 10,165	-0-
Para Legal  (Client consultation and advice at administrative hearings, assistance in benefit applications, analysis in legal areas of Social Security, wills, burial/funeral benefits, landlord/tenant disputes, and debt collection.)	\$ 16,800	-0-

SERVICE CATEGORY	1982 ALLOCATION LEVEL	1983 ALLOCATION LEVEL
Senior Employment  (Non-subsidized employment counseling, job placement services.)	\$ 21,856	-0-
Food Co-ops  (Cooperative food buying services.)	\$ 23,553	-0-
Publications	\$ 27,721	-0-
Cultural Enrichment	\$ 7,000	-0-